Current understandings of the sluggish kinetics of the hydrogen evolution and oxidation reactions in base

Qingying Jia,*^{,1} Ershuai Liu,¹ Li Jiao,² Jingkun Li,¹ Sanjeev Mukerjee¹

¹ Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Northeastern University, Boston,

Massachusetts, 02115, United States

² Department of Chemical Engineering, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts,

02115, United States

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: <u>q.jia@neu.edu</u>.

Highlights

- The HBE theory centers on the inherent properties of the surface.
- The bifunctional mechanism further considers the interactions between surface intermediate adsorbates (H_{ad} and OH_{ad}).
- The pzfc theory further considers the interfacial double layer environment and the transportation of reaction intermediates (OH_{ad}).
- The 2B theory further incorporates the cation effects into the picture of the interfacial double layer region in alkaline electrochemistry.

Abstract. The hydrogen evolution and oxidation reactions (HER/HOR) in alkaline media are the most fundamental electrochemical reactions in alkaline electrochemistry. Surprisingly, their kinetics is still elusive and under extensive debates. Herein, a critical review of the four schools of thoughts of the alkaline HER/HOR kinetics is given, focusing on the key discrepancies in the underlying mechanisms and experimental supports within these thoughts. The hydrogen evolution and oxidation reactions (HER/HOR) are the most fundamental electrochemical reactions involving the simplest molecule H₂. Clear understandings of their kinetics lay the foundation of the mechanistic understandings of more complicated reactions involving more complex reactants. For example, the Butler-Volmer equation^[11] and the Sabatier's principle^[2] were initially demonstrated by studying the HER kinetics in low pH media, and later extended to many other reactions in acid. It is now the most fundamental principles in electrochemistry. On the other hand, the kinetics of the HER/HOR in alkaline media remains elusive. It is under extensive debate why the HER/HOR rates are sensitive to pH, and to the surface structure when in base. Correspondingly, the explanations of the promotion of the alkaline HER/HOR kinetics induced by some transition metals such as Ni, Ru, *etc.* also conflict sharply. The clouds over the alkaline HER/HOR kinetics leave the scientific foundation of alkaline electrochemistry in question. A coherent theory shall provide natural explanations of all aforementioned puzzles, and constitutes the underlying principles of alkaline electrochemistry.

There are currently four different opinions of the essence of the slow kinetics of the HER/HOR of Pt-based electrocatalysts in base, and the catalytic roles of the second metal in promoting the HER/HOR of Pt surfaces. In spite of the extensive debates within the thoughts, it is generally believed that the HER/HOR proceed via the Tafel-Heyrovsky-Volmer mechanism in base and the Volmer step is the rate determining step (rds):

Tafel:
$$H_2 + 2^* \leftrightarrow 2H_{ad}$$
 (1)

Heyrovsky:
$$H_2 + OH^- + * \leftrightarrow H_{ad} + H_2O + e^-$$
 (2)

Volmer:
$$H_{ad} + OH^- \leftrightarrow * + H_2O + e^-$$
 (3)

although the Heyrovsky as the rds was also proposed,^[3] and cannot be distinguished from the Volmer being the rds by Tafel analysis as both cases can give a Tafel slope of 120 mV/dec.^[4] Nevertheless, it is interesting to note that different thought focuses on different participants in the Volmer step. The hydrogen binding energy theory (HBE) centers on the surface binding to the H_{ad} and H₂O_{ad}.^[5-8] The bifunctional mechanism centers on the H₂O_{ad} dissociation that produces H_{ad} for the HER and OH_{ad} as a possible reactant for the HOR interacting with the H_{ad}.^[9, 10] The potential of zero free charge (pzfc) theory focuses on the transportation of OH⁻ throughout the double later region via H₂O.^[11] And the 2B theory relies on the profound catalytic roles of alkali metal cations (AM⁺) for the HER and HOR via interactions with the OH_{ad}.^[12] The main arguments of each opinion in association with with the corresponding experimental supports, and the key discrepancies within the opinions are briefed below, with the hope to shed light on the alkaline HER/HOR kinetics.

Hydrogen binding energy (HBE) theory. Currently the most prevailing theory for the alkaline HER/HOR kinetics is the HBE theory pioneered by Sheng, Gasteiger, and Yan.^[6-8, 13, 14] This theory states that the binding energy between the metal and hydrogen (E_{M-H}) solely determines the HER/HOR rate of the metal. Experimental supports for this theory include the nearly linear correlation between the HER/HOR rates of several transition metals including Pt, Ir, Pd, and Rh and the position of their underpotential deposited hydrogen H_{UPD} peaks (E_{peak}) (Figure 1A) that is believed to be linearly related to E_{M-H} ($E_{M-H} = -E_{peak}F$ where F is the Faraday constant) (Figure 1B).^[13] Later Yan *et al.*^[5] refined the HBE theory by inducing the apparent Gibbs free energy of hydrogen

adsorption $\Delta G_{H,app}$ ($\Delta G_{H,app} = \Delta G_H - \Delta G_{H2O}$ wherein ΔG_H and ΔG_{H2O} are the intrinsic Gibbs free energy and the Gibbs free energy of water adsorption, respectively), on the basis of the consideration of the desorption/adsorption of water together with hydrogen. They accordingly stated that it is the $\Delta G_{H,app}$ rather than the ΔG_H that is related to the H_{UPD} peak and the HER/HOR rates. In the refined HBE theory, the ΔG_{H2O} weakens with increasing pH whereas the ΔG_H remains constant, resulting in increasing $\Delta G_{H,app}$ with increasing pH, thereby matching the positive shift of the H_{UPD} peak and the decreasing HER/HOR rates with increasing pH (Figure 1C). The weakening of the Pt-H₂O_{ad} bond is supported by the recent Quantum Mechanics Molecular Dynamics modeling by Goddard *et al.*^[15] The refined HBE theory was further proposed to account for the AM⁺-induced H_{UPD} peak shift (Figure 4D) given that the AM⁺ weakens the Pt-H₂O_{ads} bond resulting in strengthened $\Delta G_{H,app}$,^[16, 17] as well as the trend of the HER/HOR rates in the order of LiOH > NaOH > KOH > CsOH since Cs⁺ weakens the Pt-H₂O_{ads} the most and Li⁺ weakens the least,^[16, 17]

However, the HBE theory does not comply with the recent findings^[12] that increasing the AM⁺ concentration, which is supposed to weaken the Pt-H₂O_{ads}^[17] selectively increases the HER but not the HOR rate of the stepped Pt surfaces, but has no effect on the HER/HOR rates of the Pt(111) surface.^[18] Another phenomenon the HBE theory finds difficult to account for is that while the HER/HOR rates of all Pt surfaces shift with pH in a similar way,^[11] the E_{peak} of the stepped Pt surfaces is pH-dependent (*e.g.* irregular Nernstian shift with pH) whereas of the Pt(111) surface is pH-independent. These phenomena indicate that the correlation between the HER/HOR rates and the E_{peak} is not universal. Collectively speaking, the Pt(111) and stepped Pt surfaces behavior very differently in terms of cation effects and the H_{UPD} shift with pH, but their HER/HOR rates shifts similarly with pH. This phenomenon hasn't been fully accounted for by the HBE theory that links the HBE with the H_{UPD} peak position.



Figure 1. (A) Exchange current densities of HOR/HER on Pt/C, Ir/C, Pd/C, and Rh/C as a function of the lowest H_{UPD} desorption peak potential from CVs. (B) Steady state CVs of Pt collected in selected Ar-saturated electrolytes at a sweep rate of 50mV/s. (C) $\Delta G_{H,app}$ as a function of pH for (110) facet of Pt disk (hollow black square), (110) facet of Pt/C (solid black square), Ir/C (red solid sphere), Pd/C (green solid up-triangle) and Rh/C (blue solid down-triangle). (D) CVs of Pt(553) recorded at pH 13 in 0.1m MeOH, where Me is Li, Na, K, or Cs, as indicated. Part A is reproduced from reference ^[14]; part B is reproduced from ^[13]; part C is reproduced from reference ^[16].

Recently, it was reported that the $Ru@Pt/C^{[6, 19]}$ and $Ni@Pt/C^{[20]}$ core-shell nanoparticles (NPs) with pure Pt surfaces show superior HER and/or HOR activities to the counterpart Pt/C NPs because the strain and/or ligand effects induced by Ru or Ni weaken the Pt-H

binding energy. These results exclusively support the HBE theory as all other theories require the second metal to be present on the surface to promote the HER/HOR. However, Jia et $al^{[21]}$ showed that even trace amount of Ru (0.5 µM) in the alkaline electrolyte can dramatically promotes the HER/HOR of Pt/C, and accordingly questioned whether the clean Pt surfaces at ex situ conditions are still free of Ru under in situ electrochemical conditions wherein Ru has strong tendency to migrate onto the Pt surfaces.^[22] Since the surface Ru is associated with a prominent peak around 0.1 V_{RHE} in the cyclic voltammogram (CV) in alklaine (Figure 2A),^[19, 21] this peak may be used to judge the presence of surface Ru in alkaline *in situ*. For example, the CV of the Ru@Pt NPs shows a H_{UPD} peak at 0.1 V in alkaline (Figure 2B), suggesting the presence of surface Ru rather than a clean Pt surface proposed.^[6] The CV of another case of Ru@/Pt/C NPs in alkaline was not provided.^[23] Similarly, Jia et al. recently suggested that the presence of Ni on Pt surfaces in alkaline can be examined by their characteristic features in the CVs in alkaline (Figure 2C and 2D). This in situ evidence, however, was not given to justify the claimed pure Pt surfaces in the Ni@Pt/C NPs subjected to the acidic immerse treatment.^[20] In brief, if the HBE theory governs the alkaline HER/HOR kinetics, the $\Delta G_{H,app}$ will be the sole activity descriptor of the HER/HOR activity, and potentially it is possible to boost the HER/HOR activity of a pure Pt surface by changing the subsurface metal. However, the key experimental evidence for the HBE theory, the link between the H_{UPD} peak position and the HER/HOR rate, as well as a clean Pt surface with optimized EPt-H with superior HER/HOR activity to the unmodified Pt-surface, is questionable.



Figure 2. (A) CV plots of Pt/C, Ru/C, and Pt₁Ru₁/C; and of the latter two after holding the potential at 1.5 V for 5 minutes collected in an Ar-saturated 0.1m KOH electrolyte at a scan rate of 20 mV/s at room temperature. (B) Pt surface area-normalized voltammetry curves. The *CV*s of as-received (AR)-Pt₁Ni₁/C, acid immersed (AI)-Pt₁Ni₁/C, and CV-activated (CA)-Pt₁Ni₁/C collected in an Ar-saturated 0.1 M KOH electrolyte at 20 mVs⁻¹ with the upper potential limit of 1.0 V_{RHE} (C) and 1.6 V_{RHE} (D). Part A is reproduced from reference ^[21]; Part B is reproduced from reference ^[6]; Part C and D is reproduced from reference ^[12].

Bifunctional mechanism. While it is uncertain whether Ni or Ru in the core can boost the alkaline HER/HOR of Pt surfaces, Markovic's^[9, 10] and Jia's^[12, 21] groups

demonstrated that the surface Ni or Ru can improve the alkaline HER/HOR rates of Pt

surfaces (including Pt(111), Pt polycrystalline, and Pt/C NPs) by using the surface

deposition methods (Figure 3A and 3B). Accordingly, Markovic et al.^[9, 10] proposed the

bifunctional mechanism wherein the Ni or Ru promotes the water dissociation easing the

generation of H_{ad} as the reactant of the H₂ recombination on adjacent Pt sites for the HER,

and the OH_{ad} hosted on these oxophilic sites facilitates removing H_{ad} on adjacent Pt sites for the HOR (Figure 3C). By showing that adding Ni(OH)₂ onto a wide variety of metal surfaces including Ni leads to a universal 3-5 fold enhancement in the HER rate (Figure 3D),^[24] Markovic *et al.* proposed that the needs to break the water to generate H_{ad} is the major reason for the slower HER kinetics in alkaline compared to in acid. In the reverse direction (Eq. 3), the slower HOR kinetics in alkaline was ascribed to the extra energy required to bring the negatively charged OH⁻ close to the negatively charged surface to remove H_{ad} (Figure 3E); whereas in acid the neutral H₂O can easily remove H_{ad} forming H₃O⁺.^[25] However, these key arguments of the bifunctional mechanism were strongly questioned by Gasteiger et al.^[7] They pointed out that H₂O is a very fast H_{ad} donor with a low energy barrier on Pt surfaces, and also the two different sources of H_{ad} in acid vs alkaline shall result in a drastic change of the HER/HOR rates with pH rather than the gradual changes observed. In addition, water is always the dominant species in the solution within the pH value ranging from 1-13, and shall be available to remove H_{ad} for the HOR even in alkaline. While this issue may be partly addressed by the recent finding by Koper et al.[11] that the reorientation energy of water increases with increasing pH as a result of the positive shift of the pzfc, it seems to be unnatural that the HER/HOR rates of Pt surfaces slow down simultaneously with increasing pH, but they have different causes.



Figure 3. (A) HOR/HER polarization curves for Pt(111) (black) and Pt(111) modified with Ni(OH)₂-coverage ~20% (dashed red and grey). (B) HOR polarization curves of Pt/C with 0, 3, 6, and 9 mL doped 5 mm RuCl₃ and Pt₁Ru₁/C collected in a H₂-saturated 0.1M KOH electrolyte at a scan ate of 10 mV/s and a rotation rate of 2500 rpm at room temperature. (C). Schematic representation of the HOR on Ni(OH)₂/Pt(111); Ni(OH)₂ provides the active sites for adsorption of reactive OH_{ad}, and Pt provides the active sites for dissociative adsorption of H₂ and production of H_{ad}, which then react with reactive OH_{ad}. (D) Comparison between activities for the HER, expressed as overpotential required for a 5 mA/cm² current density, in 0.1M HClO₄ and 0.1M KOH for both bare metal surfaces and Ni(OH)₂-modified surfaces. (E) Schematic illustration showing the hydrated OH- approaches the surface H_{ad}. Part A and C are reproduced from reference ^[24]; Part A is reproduced from reference ^[25].

Another issue of the bifunctional mechanism for the HOR is the lack of experimental evidence for the presence of OH_{ad} within the HER/HOR potential region. This was recently addressed by the experimental finding that the surface Ru can host OH_{ad} within the HER/HOR potential region.^[21] Despite so, the catalytic roles of the Ru-hosted OH_{ad} are not clear as pointed out by Gasteiger *et al*.^[19] Alternatively, Yan *et al*.^[26] stated that the surface Ru or Ni induced HER/HOR improvement may also be accounted for by the HBE theory since these oxophilic metals bind water more strongly than Pt, resulting in

weakened E_{M-H} . Unlike the bifunctional mechanism, the HBE theory requires only one cause for the sluggish HER/HOR kinetics in base.

Pzfc theory. Another theory that requires only one cause for the sluggish HER/HOR kinetics in alkaline is the pzfc theory recently proposed by Koper *et al.*^[11] It states that the pzfc shifts positively with increasing pH. As a result, the HER/HOR potential is more negative to the pzfc, resulting in higher reorganization energy of water to transport OH⁻ throughout the interfacial double layer region, corresponding to a higher energetic barrier of the Volmer step (Eq. 3). On the basis of the pzfc theory, Koper *et al.*^[11] ascribed the improved HER kinetics of the Pt(111) surface via Ni(OH)₂ deposition to the negative shift of the pzfc that is observed by the laser-induced temperature-jump experiment (Figure 4A).



Figure 4. Laser-induced coulostatic potential transients collected for the Pt(111) electrode (A), and for the Pt(111) electrode decorated with Ni(OH)₂ (B). (C) Representative structure of the hydroxide and water covered step of Pt(553), in the presence of Na* (in a 3x1 unit cell). (D) Cation dependence of the (110)-step related voltammetric peak at various pH. 0.01M@pH3 means 0.01M cation concentration at pH 3. (E) Polarization curves for the HER on Ir in 0.1 M KOH and 0.1 M KOH containing 10^{-2} M Li⁺ and 10^{-4} M Ba²⁺. Part A and B are reproduced from reference ^[11]; Part C and D are reproduced from reference ^[16]; Part E is reproduced from reference ^[27].

Another merit of the pzfc theory is that it provides a natural explanation why the

HER/HOR rates of Pt(111) and stepped Pt surfaces shift similarly with pH while their

H_{UPD} peaks shift differently. Unlike the HBE theory that ascribes the sharp H_{UPD} peak of

stepped Pt surfaces solely to the oxidative removal of Had, Koper and Janik et al.^[16, 17]

argued that it originates from the replacement of Had by OHad. As a result, the peak

position is not only related to the binding energy to H_{ad} , but also to the OH_{ad} . They further found that the AM⁺ can adsorb onto the stepped Pt surface and weaken the Pt-OH_{ad} bond, resulting in a positive shift of the H_{UPD} peak. As the pzfc shifts positively with increasing pH, the Pt surface is more negatively charged, which promotes the adsorption of the positively charged AM⁺. Consequently, the concentration of adsorbed AM⁺ increases with increasing pH, hereby positively shifting the H_{UPD} peak.^[16, 17] This cation effect on the H_{UPD} peak position is absent for the Pt(111) surface since it does not bind AM⁺ within the HER/HOR potential region. Therefore, the irregular Nernstian shift of the H_{UPD} peak of stepped Pt surfaces is caused by the adsorption of AM⁺, whereas the regular Nernstian shift of the H_{UPD} peak of the Pt(111) surface is due to the absence of adsorbed AM⁺ cations. Koper et al.^[16, 28] noticed that the H_{UPD} peak of both Pt(111) and stepped Pt surfaces shifts with pH the same way as the pzfc, which appears to suggest that the adsorbed AM^+ shifts not only the H_{UPD} peak position, but also the pzfc like the surface Ni(OH)₂.^[11] This implicates that the adsorbed AM⁺ cations also have profound effects on the HER/HOR rates of Pt surfaces via affecting pzfc. However, although the pzfc theory provides plausible explanations of the pH-dependent HER/HOR rates and the AM⁺ cation effects on the H_{UPD} peaks for both Pt(111) and stepped Pt surfaces, it appears to be insufficient when considering the AM⁺ cation effects on the HER/HOR kinetics of stepped Pt surfaces. Markovic et al.^[27, 29] found that adding AM⁺ cations into the alkaline electrolyte improves the HER rates of the stepped Pt, Ir, and Ru surfaces, but not the HOR. This AM⁺-induced selective HER enhancement cannot be explained by the AM⁺induced shift of the pzfc that affects the HER/HOR simultaneously. Most recently, the so-called 2B theory that combines the hard-soft acid-base (HSAB) theory^[30] and the

bifunciontal mechanism was proposed by Jia *et al*.^[12] to account for the AM⁺ cation effects on the HER/HOR rates of Pt surfaces.

2B Theory. Jia *et al.*^[12] found that increasing the concentration of AM⁺ cations selectively promotes the HER of PtNi/C, Pt/C and Ni/C (Figure 5A-D), whereas changing the AM⁺ identity affects both HER and HOR of Pt surfaces (Figure 5E). In addition, increasing the AM⁺ concentration lowers the HOR limiting current of Pt (Figure 5C, insets) and reduces the sharp H_{UPD} peak intensity of stepped Pt surfaces (Figure 5C, insets). These observations were explained as the AM⁺ is anchored by the OH_{ad} forming the OH_{ad}-(H₂O)_x-AM⁺ adduct as originally proposed by Markovic's group (Figure 5F),^[18] rather than directly adsorbed onto the stepped Pt surfaces (Figure 4C). This argument is deduced from the reasoning that it is the OH_{ad} rather than stepped Pt surfaces that triggers the catalytic roles of AM⁺, on the basis of the previous observations that the cation effects are absent on the HER/HOR kinetics of the Pt(111) surface at low potential region, but effective at elevated potentials when the surface is covered by OH_{ad},^[31] or on the HER/HOR of Ni(OH)₂/Pt(111) with Ni-induced OH_{ad} on surfaces,^[10, 29] By incorporating the concept of OH_{ad}-(H₂O)_x-AM⁺, the Volmer step is rewritten as:

$$H_2O + (H_2O)_x - AM^+ \leftrightarrow H_{ad} + OH_{ad} - (H_2O)_x - AM^+$$
(4)

$$OH_{ad}-(H_2O)_x-AM^+ + e^- \leftrightarrow OH^--(H_2O)_x-AM^+$$
 (5)

According to the HSAB theory, the AM^+ is a Lewis hard acid and binds strongly with the Lewis hard base OH^- , whereas it binds weakly with the Lewis soft base OH_{ad} as it is nearly neutral. The unbalanced binding energy on the two sides of Eq. 5 drives the OH_{ad} desorption into the bulk electrolyte forming OH^- , thereby boosting the Volmer step in one

direction only. Therefore, the OH_{ad} - $(H_2O)_x$ - AM^+ improves the HER but not the HOR, matching the AM⁺-induced selective HER enhancement of Pt/C and Pt₁Ni₁/C. The HSAB theory can also account for the HER trend with changing AM⁺ identity (Figure 5E). As the Lewis acid hardness decreases in the sequence of Li⁺ > Na⁺ > K⁺, the interaction energy between OH_{ad} and $(H_2O)_xAM^+$ increases, whereas the interaction energy between OH^- and $(H_2O)_xAM^+$ decrease, resulting in the reduced energy difference between $OH^ (H_2O)_x-AM^+$ and $OH_{ad}-(H_2O)_x-AM^+$ in the order of Li⁺ > Na⁺ > K⁺. Thus, the driving force for the OH_{ad} desorption weakens in the order of Li⁺ > Na⁺ > K⁺, matching the HER trend of LiOH > NaOH > KOH.



Figure 5. The HER/HOR polarization curves of (A) Pt_1Ni_1/C , (B) Ni/C, and (C) Pt/C collected in H_2 -saturated 0.1 M LiOH with varying concentration of LiClO₄. (D) The HOR kinetic currents normalized by the H_{UPD} area of Pt/C. The insets in (A, B, and D) present the CV curves of Pt_1Ni_1/C , Ni/C, and Pt/C, respectively, and in (C) presents the limiting currents of the HOR of Pt/C. (E) The HER/HOR polarization curves of the Pt polycrystalline electrode in H_2 -saturated 0.1 M LiOH, NaOH, and KOH. The inset presents the CV curves. (F) Models for non-covalent interactions between hydrated alkali metal cations and adsorbed OH: $(H_2O)_{x-1}M^+...H_2O...OH_{ad}$ clusters, which correspond to hydrogen bonding between hydrated water and two OH_{ad} species; or $OH_{ad}...M^+(H2O)_x$ clusters, which correspond to direct ion-dipole interactions between the cation and two OH_{ad} species. Part A-E are reproduced from reference ^[12]; Part F are reproduced from reference ^[18].

The promotional role of the OH_{ad} - $(H_2O)_x$ - AM^+ towards the HER in base implicates that the HER can be improved by enriching the abundance of OH_{ad} - $(H_2O)_x$ - AM^+ , which can be achieved by increasing the concentration of AM^+ , as well as the abundance of OH_{ad} . This provides a plausible explanation of the well-known phenomenon that the HER of Pt can be significantly improved by enriching the interfacial sites between the Pt and oxides.^[32]

Meanwhile, the cation effects on the alkaline HOR kinetics can be explained by the bifunctional mechanism based on the argument that the AM⁺ in the OH_{ad} -(H₂O)_x-AM⁺ adduct weakens the Pt-OH_{ad} bond, in coordination with the pzfc theory. Increasing the AM⁺ concentration reduces the HOR kinetics of Pt surfaces, but not on surfaces with high oxophilicity such as Ni, Ru, and Ir^[27] binding OH_{ad} overly strong. In addition, the slower HOR kinetics in NaOH/KOH compared to in LiOH (Figure 5E) can be related to the weaker Pt-OH_{ad} bond. This argument can be further supported by the results of the CO oxidation that is also governed by the bifunctional mechanism.

If combining the 2B theory and the bifunctional mechanism, the cation effects appear to play key roles on the kinetics of alkaine HER/HOR by affecting the OH- transportation throughout the double layer region. The presence of AM^+ negative shifts the pzfc so as to cause the pH-dependence of the HER/HOR rates. In the meanwhile, it helps to remove OH_{ad} thus promotes the HER, whereas the weakened OH_{ad} bond may slow down the HOR.

Concluding remarks

It seems like each of the four thoughts focuses on specific parts of the big picture of the alkaline HER/HOR electrochemistry. The HBE theory centers solely on the inherent properties of the catalytic surfaces. The bifunctional mechanism further considers the interactions between the intermediate adsorbates (H_{ad} and OH_{ad}) on surfaces. The pzfc theory additionally considers the interfacial double layer environment and the transportation of reaction intermediates (OH_{ad}). The 2B theory incorporates the profound cation effects into the picture of the interfacial double layer environment. The HBE theory is valid predicting the volcano trend of the HER/HOR activity of the elements grouped into three regions: those bind H and O too weak such as Au; those bind H and O too strong such as Ni, and those have nearly optimized binding energy toward H and O such as Pt. As for the latest case, the transportation of OH_{ad} throughout the double layer region appears to be the limiting factor for the HER/HOR in base.

Acknowledgements

Q.J. acknowledges support from the Office of Naval Research under award number N000141712608.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- •Paper of special interest
- ••Paper of outstanding interest.

1. T. Erdey-Gruz, M. Volmer: **The theory of hydrogen overvoltage**. *Z. Phys. Chem* 1930, 150, 203.

2. S. Trasatti: Work function, electronegativity, and electrochemical behaviour of metals: III. Electrolytic hydrogen evolution in acid solutions. *J. electroanal. chem. interfacial electrochem.* 1972, 39, 163-184.

••3. W. Sheng, H. A. Gasteiger, Y. Shao-Horn: Hydrogen oxidation and evolution reaction kinetics on platinum: acid vs alkaline electrolytes. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2010, 157, B1529-B1536. A textbook paper for conducting alkaline kinetic study of the HER/HOR of Pt surfaces.

4. T. Shinagawa, A. T. Garcia-Esparza, K. Takanabe: **Insight on Tafel slopes from a microkinetic analysis of aqueous electrocatalysis for energy conversion**. *Scientific Reports* 2015, 5, 13801.

•5. J. Zheng, J. Nash, B. Xu, Y. Yan: Perspective—Towards Establishing Apparent

Hydrogen Binding Energy as the Descriptor for Hydrogen Oxidation/Evolution Reactions. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2018, 165, H27-H29. The most recent and frontier paper of the HBE theory.

6. K. Elbert, J. Hu, Z. Ma, Y. Zhang, G. Chen, W. An, P. Liu, H. S. Isaacs, R. R. Adzic, J. X. Wang: Elucidating hydrogen oxidation/evolution kinetics in base and acid by enhanced activities at the optimized Pt shell thickness on the Ru core. *ACS Catal.* 2015, 5, 6764-6772.

•7. J. Durst, A. Siebel, C. Simon, F. Hasche, J. Herranz, H. A. Gasteiger: New insights into the electrochemical hydrogen oxidation and evolution reaction mechanism.

Energy Environ. Sci. 2014, 7, 2255-2260. One of the original papers proposing the HBE theory, and questioning the bifunctional mechanism.

•8. W. Sheng, M. Myint, J. G. Chen, Y. Yan: Correlating the hydrogen evolution reaction activity in alkaline electrolytes with the hydrogen binding energy on monometallic surfaces. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2013, 6, 1509-1512. Classical HBE paper proposing the volcano trend of the HER activity across a variety of elements.
•9. D. Strmcnik, M. Uchimura, C. Wang, R. Subbaraman, N. Danilovic, V. van der, A. P. Paulikas, V. R. Stamenkovic, N. M. Markovic: Improving the hydrogen oxidation

reaction rate by promotion of hydroxyl adsorption. *Nat. Chem.* 2013, 5, 300-306. The first paper proposing the bifunctional mechanism for the alkaline HOR.

10. R. Subbaraman, D. Tripkovic, K.-C. Chang, D. Strmcnik, A. P. Paulikas, P. Hirunsit,
M. Chan, J. Greeley, V. Stamenkovic, N. M. Markovic: Trends in activity for the water
electrolyser reactions on 3d M (Ni, Co, Fe, Mn) hydr(oxy) oxide catalysts. *Nat. Mater.*2012, 11, 550-557.

••11. I. Ledezma-Yanez, W. D. Z. Wallace, P. Sebastián-Pascual, V. Climent, J. M. Feliu, M. T. M. Koper: Interfacial water reorganization as a pH-dependent descriptor of the hydrogen evolution rate on platinum electrodes. *Nat. Energy* 2017, 2, 17031. The first paper proposing the pzfc theory for the alkaline HER kinetics.

••12. L. Ershuai, L. Jingkun, J. Li, D. Huong Thi Thanh, L. Zeyan, Z. Zipeng, H. Yu, A. Kuzhikalail. M., M. Sanjeev, J. Qingying, *Unifying the Alkaline Hydrogen Evolution/oxidation Reactions Kinetics by Identifying the Roles of Hydroxyl-Water-Cation Adducts*, 2018. The first paper proposing the 2B theory for the alkaline HER/HOR kinetics accounting for the cation effects. •13. W. Sheng, Z. Zhuang, M. Gao, J. Zheng, J. G. Chen, Y. Yan: **Correlating hydrogen oxidation and evolution activity on platinum at different pH with measured hydrogen binding energy**. *Nat. Commun.* 2015, 6. The first paper using the HBE to explain the pH-dependence of the HER/HOR activity of Pt surfaces.

14. J. Zheng, W. Sheng, Z. Zhuang, B. Xu, Y. Yan: Universal dependence of hydrogen oxidation and evolution reaction activity of platinum-group metals on pH and hydrogen binding energy. *Sci. Adv.* 2016, 2.

•15. T. Cheng, L. Wang, B. V. Merinov, W. A. Goddard: Explanation of Dramatic pH-Dependence of Hydrogen Binding on Noble Metal Electrode: Greatly Weakened Water Adsorption at High pH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, 140, 7787-7790. This paper shows that it is possible to use the pH-dependent Pt-H₂Oad binding energy to explain the pH-dependent HER/HOR activity of Pt surfaces, in accordance with the HBE theory.
••16. X. Chen, I. T. McCrum, K. A. Schwarz, M. J. Janik, M. T. M. Koper: Co-adsorption of Cations as the Cause of the Apparent pH Dependence of Hydrogen Adsorption on a Stepped Platinum Single-Crystal Electrode. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, 56, 15025-15029. This paper originates the idea that the cation effects account for the irregular pH-depedence of the H_{UPD} peak position. It indicates the profound roles of cations in the alkaline electrochemistry.

•17. I. T. McCrum, M. J. Janik: pH and Alkali Cation Effects on the Pt Cyclic
Voltammogram Explained Using Density Functional Theory. J. Phys. Chem. C 2016,
120, 457-471. An important and early paper proposing the existence of OH_{ad} at low
potential region as the origin of the sharp H_{UPD} peak of stepped Pt surfaces.

••18. D. Strmcnik, K. Kodama, D. van der Vliet, J. Greeley, V. R. Stamenkovic, N. M. Marković: The role of non-covalent interactions in electrocatalytic fuel-cell reactions on platinum. *Nat. Chem.* 2009, 1, 466.

J. N. Schwämmlein, B. M. Stühmeier, K. Wagenbauer, H. Dietz, V. Tileli, H. A.
 Gasteiger, H. A. El-Sayed: Origin of Superior HOR/HER Activity of Bimetallic Pt-Ru
 Catalysts in Alkaline Media Identified via Ru@Pt Core-Shell Nanoparticles. J.
 Electrochem. Soc. 2018, 165, H229-H239.

20. S. Lu, Z. Zhuang: Investigating the Influences of the Adsorbed Species on Catalytic Activity for Hydrogen Oxidation Reaction in Alkaline Electrolyte. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 5156-5163.

•21. J. Li, S. Ghoshal, M. K. Bates, T. E. Miller, V. Davies, E. Stavitski, K. Attenkofer, S. Mukerjee, Z.-F. Ma, Q. Jia: **Experimental Proof of the Bifunctional Mechanism for the Hydrogen Oxidation in Alkaline Media**. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, 56, 15594-15598. This paper shows the existence of the OH_{ad} on surface Ru sites and its roles in catalyzing the HOR and CO oxidation.

22. B. Han, A. Van der Ven, G. Ceder, B.-J. Hwang: Surface segregation and ordering of alloy surfaces in the presence of adsorbates. *Phys. Rev. B* 2005, 72, 205409.

23. J. N. Schwämmlein, H. A. El-Sayed, B. M. Stühmeier, K. F. Wagenbauer, H. Dietz,

H. A. Gasteiger: Origin of Superior Activity of Ru@ Pt Core-Shell Nanoparticles

towards Hydrogen Oxidation in Alkaline Media. ECS Trans 2016, 75, 971-982.

24. N. Danilovic, R. Subbaraman, D. Strmcnik, K.-C. Chang, A. P. Paulikas, V. R.

Stamenkovic, N. M. Markovic: Enhancing the Alkaline Hydrogen Evolution Reaction

Activity through the Bifunctionality of Ni(OH)₂/Metal Catalysts. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12495-12498.

25. N. Ramaswamy, S. Ghoshal, M. K. Bates, Q. Jia, J. Li, S. Mukerjee: Hydrogen oxidation reaction in alkaline media: Relationship between electrocatalysis and electrochemical double-layer structure. *Nano Energy* 2017, 41, 765-771.

26. S. A. Giles, J. C. Wilson, J. Nash, B. Xu, D. G. Vlachos, Y. Yan: **Recent advances in understanding the pH dependence of the hydrogen oxidation and evolution reactions**. *J. Catal.* 2018.

27. N. Danilovic, R. Subbaraman, D. Strmcnik, A. P. Paulikas, D. Myers, V. R.

Stamenkovic, N. M. Markovic: The Effect of Noncovalent Interactions on the HOR,

ORR, and HER on Ru, Ir, and Ru_{0.50}Ir_{0.50} Metal Surfaces in Alkaline Environments. *Electrocatalysis* 2012, 3, 221-229.

28. M. J. Van der Niet, N. Garcia-Araez, J. Hernández, J. M. Feliu, M. T. Koper: Water

dissociation on well-defined platinum surfaces: The electrochemical perspective.

Catal. Today 2013, 202, 105-113.

•29. R. Subbaraman, D. Tripkovic, D. Strmcnik, K.-C. Chang, M. Uchimura, A. P.

Paulikas, V. Stamenkovic, N. M. Markovic: Enhancing hydrogen evolution activity in water splitting by tailoring Li⁺-Ni(OH)₂-Pt interfaces. *Science* 2011, 334, 1256-1260. One of the first papers showing that Li cations can promote the HER of Pt surfaces.

30. R. G. Pearson: Hard and Soft Acids and Bases. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 3533-3539.

31. D. Strmcnik, M. Escudero-Escribano, K. Kodama, V. R. Stamenkovic, A. Cuesta, N.
M. Marković: Enhanced electrocatalysis of the oxygen reduction reaction based on patterning of platinum surfaces with cyanide. *Nat. Chem.* 2010, 2, 880.

32. Z. Zhao, H. Liu, W. Gao, W. Xue, Z. Liu, J. Huang, X. Pan, Y. Huang: Surface-

Engineered PtNi-O Nanostructure with Record-High Performance for

Electrocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 9046-9050.